IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.) CRIMINAL NO. 2:19cr189
JAVAID PERWAIZ,)
Defendant.)

GOVERNMENT'S POSITION WITH RESPECT TO SENTENCING

The United States of America, by and through the Acting United States Attorney, Raj Parekh, and Elizabeth M. Yusi, E. Rebecca Gantt, and John F. Butler, Assistant United States Attorneys, offers the following with respect to the sentencing factors under both the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Guidelines") and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). The government has no objection to the Presentence Investigation Report (PSR), which correctly calculates the defendant's total offense level of 43 and has a recommended range under the Guidelines of 5,700 months¹. As discussed below, the government is requesting a sentence of 50 years (600 months). Based on the sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553, the government believes this sentence is sufficient but not greater than necessary to achieve the purposes of sentencing. In support of its position, the government states as follows:

I. BACKGROUND FACTS

On June 19, 2020, the grand jury returned a sixty-two count superseding indictment against the defendant, JAVAID PERWAIZ ("defendant" or "PERWAIZ"). ECF No. 50. The

¹ The Guidelines range of Life is restricted because the maximum statutory sentences available is 5,700 months (Counts 1-7, 9-10, 17, 23, 25-26 = 13 Counts x 120 months = 1,560 months; Counts 8, 14-16, 18-22, 24 = 10 Counts x 240 months = 2,400 months; Counts 27-31, 33-40, 44-59 = 29 Counts x 60 months = 1,740 months; 1,560 months + 2,400 months + 1,740 months = 5,700 months).

counts alleged violation of three statutes: Counts 1 through 26 charged the defendant with health care fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1347, Counts 27 through 59 charged the defendant with false statements related to health care matters, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1035; and Counts 60, 61 and 63 charge the defendant with aggravated identify theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A. The indictment pertained to thirty-one patients of the defendant, an obstetrician-gynecologist, for services occurring between at least January 2010 and November 2019.

PERWAIZ proceeded to trial, which commenced October 13, 2020. The government presented dozens of witnesses and hundreds of exhibits. The defendant also presented a defense case, which included PERWAIZ testifying in his own defense over a period of two days. On Trial Day 18, on November 9, 2020, the jury returned a verdict of guilty on 52 counts. The defendant was found guilty on Counts 1 through 10, and 14 through 26, for health care fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1347. The jury also found PERWAIZ guilty of health care fraud resulting in serious bodily injury in Counts 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 24. The defendant was also found guilty on Counts 27 through 32, 34 through 40, and 44 through 59 charging the defendant with false statements related to health care matters, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1035. The jury was hung on Count 32 and acquitted the defendant on the remaining counts.

As the Court saw throughout the trial, PERWAIZ created and perpetrated the health care fraud scheme well before 2010. In fact, it occurred over decades since at least the early 1980s. There were four principal ways in which the defendant employed false and fraudulent means to obtain money from health care benefit programs between at least 2010 and 2019:

A. Unnecessary Surgeries and Cancer Scares

First, PERWAIZ performed unnecessary gynecological diagnostic procedures (including hysteroscopies and colposcopies) and surgeries (including hysterectomies, dilation & curettages, and the removal of ovaries and fallopian tubes) on unsuspecting patients. In some instances, the defendant falsely told patients that if they did not undergo a hysterectomy, they would develop cancer, or that they had cancer and needed surgery immediately. PERWAIZ' removal of women's organs resulted in serious bodily injury. And, some of his unnecessary surgeries resulted in additional permanent injuries to his patients, including the inability to go to the bathroom normally, constant pain, and the inability to have sexual intercourse.

At trial, Dr. Jay Goldberg, an expert in gynecology and obstetrics, testified about his review of the patients' files and test results. He explained the standard of care, and how PERWAIZ was a complete deviant in how he proceeded in his practice and the invasive procedures he forced upon women. Also at trial, dozens of victims testified about the lack of complaints and symptoms they experienced, yet PERWAIZ documented otherwise in their medical files. Many also testified about the fear PERWAIZ instilled in them about their diagnosis or risk of cancer, which coerced them into having unnecessary surgeries. One example which the Court may recall is patient M.C. M.C. is a non-native English speaker, and had trouble understanding why PERWAIZ kept performing invasive gynecological procedures on her. In 2012, PERWAIZ convinced M.C. she needed a hysterectomy, and told the insurance company it was due to uterine prolapse. M.C. never had any symptoms of prolapse or pain, and the procedure was completely unnecessary. Even after the hysterectomy, PERWAIZ continued to do other unnecessary procedures on M.C.

Another example is D.A. After being told of an abnormal pap smear, which is a very common occurrence in gynecology, D.A. went to PERWAIZ for a follow-up appointment. PERWAIZ allegedly performed a colposcopy on D.A. PERWAIZ told D.A. she had precancerous cells and needed an immediate hysterectomy. D.A. agreed and proceeded to surgery. The surgery created debilitating pain and discomfort for D.A. and was completely unnecessary.

Patient N.B. was 41 years old and went to PERWAIZ for a routine exam. He then lied and told her she needed an emergent hysterectomy or she would get cancer. N.B. was under the knife within 3 days. As a result of the unnecessary surgery, N.B. now has pain and severe complications in going to the bathroom.

B. Unnecessary Early Induction of Pregnant Women

PERWAIZ also routinely changed pregnant women's due dates and perform unnecessary, elective inductions when the women were prior to 39 weeks in gestation in order to make sure he was the physician to deliver the babies and then be paid by insurance. He did so not for any medical reason, but to untruthfully make it appear as though these patients were beyond 39 weeks of gestation when he induced their labor. Thus, the defendant ensured the appearance of compliance with the standard of care and medical necessity, while minimizing the chances that his patients would spontaneously deliver at a time when the defendant was not already scheduled to be at the hospital where he had privileges. In 2019 alone, PERWAIZ billed at least 33 of 84 deliveries to TRICARE and Medicaid for women who had been induced, without medical indication, prior to 39 weeks. Several of these patients testified at trial as well, and stated that if

they had not been pressured by PERWAIZ, they would have preferred to wait until their labor began on its own.

Two medical practitioners also testified as to PERWAIZ' earlier practices regarding early inductions. Dr. Dillender, a pediatric neonatologist, testified that in approximately 2007 or 2008, PERWAIZ' unnecessary early inductions resulted in babies requiring special care, which happened so frequently that it was known as the "Perwaiz special." And Diane Lee, a nurse whose career overlapped with PERWAIZ' for decades, discussed similar occurrences decades earlier.

C. Fake Hysteroscopies and Colposcopies

PERWAIZ routinely billed for hundreds of thousands dollars of in-office, diagnostic hysteroscopies and colposcopies that he only pretended to perform. For the hysteroscopies, the hysteroscope was completely broken for long periods of time but PERWAIZ still billed for the alleged service. And throughout the entire time, PERWAIZ used no distending medium, so the procedure was worthless. For the colposcopy, PERWAIZ also did not use the required vinegar/acidic solution required for its use, thus making them worthless as well. He used fabricated results from both procedures in order to progress his patients to invasive and lucrative unnecessary, surgeries.

As a result of PERWAIZ' fraudulent diagnostic procedures, he was paid over \$504,000 between 2010 and 2019.

D. Falsified Sterilization Consents

PERWAIZ frequently pressured women to quickly undergo sterilization, typically bilateral tubal ligations (more commonly known as tube-tying). Medicaid requires a 30-day

written consent from women prior to sterilization. As Dr. Goldberg testified, this requirement was instituted, in part, to provide protection for vulnerable populations from coerced sterilization. PERWAIZ directed patients to leave the dates of the consent forms blank and to fill in the date himself at a later date, allowing him to bill Medicaid for sterilizations that he often performed the same week as his first patient visit. Many of the women who underwent sterilization procedures were not even patients of PERWAIZ 30 days prior to their irreversible surgeries.

In addition, PERWAIZ was found guilty in Counts 58 and 59 for false statements involving health care matters due to his lies in his provider reapplications/reattestations to health care insurance companies. These relate to PERWAIZ' lies to the insurance companies regarding his prior federal felony convictions for tax evasion. At trial, the jury saw evidence PERWAIZ falsely stated that he did not have any prior felony convictions, despite these convictions in 1996 in the Eastern District of Virginia. *United States v. Javaid A. Perwaiz*, No. 2:95cr135.

Also, as shown at trial, defendant did not disclose the past revocation of his privileges in the 1980s at Maryview Hospital as was required in these reapplications/reattestations.

Maryview Hospital terminated the defendant's staff membership and clinical privileges on or about October 24, 1983, due to poor clinical judgment and unnecessary surgeries, which included numerous unnecessary hysterectomies.

Evidence from two different insurance companies showed that approximately 80% of PERWAIZ' surgeries and procedures were unnecessary.² The evidence showed the defendant

² In fact, this number likely underrepresents the number of fraudulent procedures and surgeries.

personally gained approximately \$2,276,089 from his crimes. In addition, he caused an additional loss to insurance companies of approximately \$18,563,323 based on the hospitals bills and collections from his surgeries and procedures that occurred at the hospitals. Thus, PERWAIZ' fraud caused approximately \$20,839,412 in loss to insurance companies. Plus, the loss to his victims, both physically and emotionally, is immeasurable.

II. DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS

The defendant continues to refuse to accept responsibility and objects to the PSR's stated offense conduct, victim impact, vulnerable victim, role in the offense, and adjustment for obstruction of justice sections. He also objects to the Guidelines calculations, loss amount, sophisticated means enhancement and other Guidelines adjustments. As the Court and jury saw at sentencing, the facts of this case were proved beyond a reasonable doubt and these objections should be sustained.

As for the PSR's factual findings, and specific enhancements and adjustments, the government consulted with the defendant's counsel. Defendant's counsel stated that, for purposes of sentencing, the Court can rely on the jury's verdict. Defense counsel believes that the Court's reliance on the jury's verdict is sufficient to support the PSR and the Guidelines calculations. As such, the defendant and the government do not plan to submit further evidence to support the PSR and the calculations therein.

III. STANDARDS GOVERNING SENTENCING

This number only considers the number of individual, unique women who had at least one surgery or procedure by PERWAIZ. It does not include women who had numerous unnecessary surgeries and procedures. As the evidence showed at trial, PERWAIZ rarely only performed one surgery or procedure; he usually had the victims return again and again for additional surgeries and procedures.

The standards governing sentencing are well-established. In *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), the Supreme Court rendered the Sentencing Guidelines purely advisory, and emphasized that a sentencing court must consider both the Guidelines and the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors when making a sentencing decision. *Id.* at 264; see also United States v. Kimbrough, 552 U.S. 85 (2007) (stating that "the Guidelines, formerly mandatory, now serve as one factor among several courts must consider in determining an appropriate sentence"). In Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38 (2007), the Supreme Court instructed that the sentencing court should calculate the sentencing guideline range, permit the government and the defendant "an opportunity to argue for whatever sentence they deem appropriate," consider all of the Section 3553(a) factors, and finally pronounce a sentence considering all of the relevant factors. *Id.* at 49-50. The Gall Court further instructed that, in the event that the sentencing court decides to impose a variance sentence, the court "must consider the extent of the deviation and ensure that the justification is sufficiently compelling to support the degree of the variance." *Id.* (noting that a "major departure should be supported by a more significant justification than a minor one.").

Applying these standards, the Fourth Circuit has concluded that a sentencing court must: "(1) properly calculate the Guideline range; (2) allow the parties to argue for the sentence they deem appropriate and determine whether the § 3553(a) factors support the sentences requested by the parties; and (3) explain its reasons for selecting a sentence." *United States v. Simmons*, 269 Fed. App'x 272, 2008 WL 681764, at *1 (4th Cir. March 11, 2008) (citing *United States v. Pauley*, 511 F.3d 468, 473 (4th Cir. 2007)). When "rendering a sentence, the district court must make and place on the record an individualized assessment based on the particular facts of the

case." *United States v. Cuthrell*, No. 12-4077, 2012 WL 3643677, *1 (4th Cir. Aug. 27, 2012) (citing *United States v. Carter*, 564 F.3d 325, 328 (4th Cir. 2009)). Ultimately, a court "must state in open court the particular reasons supporting its chosen sentence." *Carter*, 564 F.3d at 328 (quoting 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c)).

IV. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) FACTORS

A Guidelines sentence of 600 months is reasonable and appropriate in the instant case.

As discussed below, the application of the 3553(a) factors – in particular the nature and circumstances of the offenses, the history and characteristics of the defendant, and the need to promote adequate respect for the law – support the government's requested sentence in this case.

A. Nature and Circumstances of the Offense

The nature and circumstances of this offense are serious and heinous. Under this factor, there are two aspects the Court needs to consider: 1) the fraud and crime against the health insurance companies, and 2) the fraud and crime against the countless victims who PERWAIZ coerced into unnecessary surgeries and procedures, early inductions, and rushed sterilizations.

In terms of the fraud on the insurance companies, the defendant honed his medical practice over a period of decades to be a cash machine for his lavish lifestyle. Just a few years after he received his medical degree and license, PERWAIZ was thrown out of Maryview Hospital for performing unnecessary surgeries. While the Virginia Department of Health then did its own investigation, it only cited PERWAIZ for poor record keeping (as well as having sex with patients, which he admitted during trial that he continued to do). Instead of getting additional training, PERWAIZ continued to practice on his own. And, he learned, or attempted

to learn, to keep better records to support his unnecessary medical surgeries and procedures.

This assisted him in continuing his criminal conduct for decades.

Some of PERWAIZ' medical records, if true, would support the numerous surgeries and procedures. However, the Court knows from trial that very little PERWAIZ wrote down in the medical records was accurate or truthful. Rather, PERWAIZ would rewrite his clinical notes, edit them at a later date, or just write down boilerplate complaints to support his claim to insurance companies for reimbursement. This resulted in millions of dollars of ill-gotten gains to PERWAIZ and a complete fleecing of health insurance companies.

Secondly, and even more serious, is the nature and circumstances of the crime against his individual victims. The majority of PERWAIZ' patients were low-income Medicaid patients. Many were poorly educated. However, there were others who were highly educated and had private insurance. Regardless, the thing the patients all had in common was that they trusted PERWAIZ. They believed what he told them; if they were sick or at risk, they trusted that PERWAIZ was being truthful and had their well-being as his first priority. Thus, they did what he told them to do. They would consent to and show up for unnecessary procedures and surgery, or get induced to have their baby, or to become permanently sterilized (many times with PERWAIZ' false assurance he could easily reverse it in the future). Many of these victims underwent invasive surgeries and procedures and experienced pain and discomfort that goes along with those. The women lost organs and lost their ability to have children. Some experienced permanent, debilitating pain and other complications. And, many of these women lost their trust of the medical establishment and are now hesitant to go to or listen to other doctors.

The Court only heard from some of the patients whom PERWAIZ victimized for his own financial gain. The FBI received hundreds of statements and complaints to their hotline and continue to receive calls from women who believe they are victims. In addition, the U.S. Attorney's Office set up a dedicated website and email address to provide information to women concerning this case. *See https://www.justice.gov/usao-edva/united-states-v-javaid-perwaiz*. Countless women do not know and will never know what PERWAIZ did to them, whether it was necessary or not, or even what surgeries or procedures were done on them. For example, R.D. wrote the government for her records believing she was infertile due to PERWAIZ. Exhibit A, Example Emails to U.S. Attorney's Office³. Unfortunately, R.D. is just one of many who is left wondering. *Id.* And most of these women will never know the truth.

Even alone, the nature and circumstances of the offense warrants the requested 600-month sentence.

B. History and Characteristics of the Defendant

This factor also supports a 600-month sentence. As discussed, the defendant has spent decades defrauding insurance companies at the expense of and without regard to the women he took an oath to heal. And, it is clear that one of his motivating factors was pure and simple greed.

As presented at trial and as stated in the PSR, PERWAIZ was convicted in 1996 for two felony counts of signing and filing false tax statements. Even then, PERWAIZ was using his medical practice as a cash machine to support his expensive tastes. PERWAIZ claimed on his medical practice's tax returns the following, among other items as "business expenses": a

³ Note these are separate and apart from the Victim Impact Statements provided to the Court.

\$84,942 Ferrari sportscar, a \$26,120 Mercedes 560SL car, oriental rugs, china, jewelry, furniture, stereo equipment, paintings, lingerie, shoes, and suits. *See* Govt. Trial Ex. 152A.

Unfortunately for countless women, these two felony convictions did nothing to stop the defendant.

Instead, PERWAIZ continued to run up his billings and receipts by convincing vulnerable, trusting women into unnecessary, often-times painful procedures and surgeries. He did this without remorse or hesitation. He did so, in part, to pay for his mistress' children to go to private school and college. He also bought the mistress jewelry and watches, and bought himself over \$70,000 in sunglasses and over \$817,000 at Bergdorf Goodman in luxury items.

This Court saw PERWAIZ on the stand when he testified at the trial. He is obviously an intelligent man, but also an arrogant, indignant man who lied to the Court and the jury, and blamed everyone but himself for the damage he caused to these women. He even blamed and continues to blame the victims. And, since the conviction, PERWAIZ has shown his true colors about what he really things of his patients and victims.

As the Court is aware, the defendant has been detained at Western Tidewater Regional Jail. All of his phone calls, video visits, and text messages are recorded. As the government will present at sentencing, on March 31, 2021, PERWAIZ was speaking with his girlfriend, Erika Smith, concerning the civil medical malpractice suits pending against him. He told her, "It's all going to get settled. These people are going to make a lot of money – all these vultures, all these blacks from Portsmouth, because of my criminal conviction…" PERWAIZ continued that he trusted these people and they have really hurt him. The patients always told him how handsome he was and wanted to hug him. PERWAIZ also said his malpractice attorney assured

PERWAIZ that he "didn't do anything wrong" and these people are going to get paid "for absolutely nothing. These people are perfectly fine people. No complications during, after surgery. Nothing. Except that 'I'm still in pain. My incision looks this, my this, my that."

Based on who PERWAIZ has been over a period of decades, and continues to be, this factor also supports the 600 month sentence.

C. Promote Respect for the Law and Adequate Deterrence to Criminal Conduct

A lengthy sentence of imprisonment also will promote respect for the law. Due to PERWAIZ' age, the length of time he is facing, and his loss of medical license, specific deterrence is not necessarily the largest factor weighing in favor of the requested sentence. However, general deterrence is a significant factor for the Court to consider in fashioning a sentence of imprisonment.

In particular in a case such as this, the Court must send a message to the medical community and those in positions of trust that this criminal conduct will not be tolerated. As discussed by the sentencing judge in the Bernie Madoff case, the deterrent effect of sentencing includes a symbolic aspect. *See* Ex. B at 48, Madoff Sentencing Transcript. As here, "the strongest possible message must be sent to those who would engage in similar conduct that they will be caught and that they will be punished to the fullest extent of the law." *Id*.

In addition, the victims in this case deserve the strongest possible message. This Court has received over 50 Victim Impact Statements, both from some of the women who testified at trial as well as others.⁴ The Court cannot give these women back their health or trust in doctors.

⁴ In addition, several victims have expressed their wish to address the Court in person at sentencing concerning the impact of PERWAIZ' actions.

However, a strong message to the defendant and others in the form of a 600-month sentence may give them a sense of trust in the justice system and "may, in some small measure, help these victims in their healing process." Ex. B at 50.

D. The Kinds of Sentences Available

PERWAIZ' criminal conduct and this case is unique, to say the least. However, the government believes there are at least one case the Court should consider in fashioning an appropriate sentence.

United States v. Fata was a criminal case from the Southern District of Michigan.

United States v. Fata, 2:13cr20600 (S.D.Mich.). In 2014, Fata pleaded guilty pursuant to a plea agreement and was convicted of conspiracy, health care fraud resulting in serious bodily injury, and money laundering. Fata was an oncologist. The fraud involved, in part, Fata routinely diagnosing patients with cancer, when in fact they did not have cancer, in order to treat them and bill the insurance companies. Id. at ECF No. 135. He was paid over \$17 million for these fraudulent treatments, all while putting his patients at great risk. The government identified over 550 victims. His Guidelines were Life, with a statutory maximum of 175 years (2,100 months). The Court sentenced Fata to 45 years' (540 months) imprisonment. Fata was approximately 50 years old at the time. Unlike PERWAIZ, Fata expressed remorse for his conduct and acknowledged the harm he caused the patients who trusted him. See

https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/oakland-county/2015/07/10/farid-fata/29955103/.

In contrast to the *Fata* defendant, PERWAIZ proceeded to trial. Further, PERWAIZ continues to refuse to accept responsibility. And, his Guidelines are almost triple that of *Fata*. While the *Fata* case is not a perfect comparison, the government believes it supports the fact that

the requested 600 month sentence of imprisonment is reasonable, sufficient, and not greater than necessary to achieve the purposes of sentencing.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Government submits that a sentence of 600 months would be sufficient, and not greater than necessary, to satisfy the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Respectfully Submitted,

RAJ PAREKH ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: /s/
Elizabeth M. Yusi
E. Rebecca Gantt

John F. Butler

Assistant United States Attorneys

Attorney for the United States

United States Attorney's Office 101 West Main Street, Suite 8000

Norfolk, VA 23510

Office Number - 757-441-6331

Facsimile Number - 757-441-6689

E-Mail Address - elizabeth.yusi@usdoj.gov

E-Mail Address – rebecca.gantt@usdoj.gov

E-Mail Address – john.f.butler@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 11th day of May, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notification to all counsel of record.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that on this this 11th day of May, 2021, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be e-mailed to the following:

Sami Geurts United States Probation Officer

By:	/s/
•	Elizabeth M. Yusi
	Assistant United States Attorney

From: USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2020 2:17 PM

To:

Thank you

Subject: RE: Medical records **Attachments:** MedicalReleaseForm.pdf

From:
Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:48 PM
To: USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records <USAVAE-PerwaizMedica@usa.doj.gov>
Subject: Medical records

Good Day,
My name is and I am a former patient of Dr. Perwaiz. I am seeking my medical records as I believe Dr. Perwaiz performed unnecessary surgery on me possibly resulting in infertility. This man may have very well taken any ability I had to bear children of my own forever.

Please advise how to proceed in obtaining my records?

From:

To:

Saturday, February 6, 2021 5:59 PM USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records

Subject: Former Patient Of Perwaiz.

I'm just learning of all this. I had a hysterectomy performed by Perwaiz approximately 5 years ago. How do I find out if he actually took out what was told to me please help find answers to other questions I have. Im not sure who to speak with on this.

From:
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2020 10:45 AM
To: USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records

Cc:

Medical Records.

Good morning,

I am requesting my medical records. Procedures I had done were in the 90s and possibly late 80s.

As of right now I am not sure what was or was not done or what was needed to be done and what was not needed to be done to my body.

Chesapeake, VA 23323

The address was different at the time.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: > Sent: Monday, October 19, 2020 6:05 PM
To: USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records
Subject: Former Patient of Dr. Perwaiz

To whom it may concern,

My name is and my date of birth is 41977. I am emailing to request a copy of my medical records from November 2006 -2008. Dr. Perwaiz diagnosed me with endometriosis back in 2006 or 2007. After this diagnosis I was scheduled for surgery at Harborview in Suffolk. I have recently learned that I'm missing a fallopian tube and an ovary. I have never been told this even when I had my daughter in 2002. In light of the reports about Dr. Perwaiz's practices that he may have removed them.

Thank you for your time

From:

Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 3:37 PM **To:** USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records

Subject: Dr. Perwaiz

I had two surgeries performed by Dr. Perwaiz at Portsmouth General Hospital approimately 1985 or 1986. The first surgery was exploratory and the second was a tubaligation. With what has taken place I am not sure my first surgery was even necessaary and I do not know what he did to me or what he billed my insurance for. I would like to obtain y medical records if they are available.

Chespeake, VA 23321

From:

Thursday, March 25, 2021 7:19 PM
USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records

Subject: Patient of Dr. Perwaiz

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

I was a patient of Dr.Perwaize .I'm sure that he performed an unnecessary surgery on me . I can't remember the date but I'm sure it was between 2012 and 2015.Dr. Perwaize diagnosed me with a serious ovarian cyst. He claimed that the serious cyst that he removed from my ovary consisted of skin ,hair and teeth. Is it possible that he diagnosed me with having a Ovarian serious cyst to obtain a larger payment from my insurance? I would like to be included in this case and testify if possible. Please contact me at

From: Sent:

To:

Thursday, March 18, 2021 11:54 AM USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records

Subject: Medical Records!!

To whom this message may be received.

I was a former patient of Dr. Javaid Perwaiz between the approximate years of 1997 and 2001/2003. During my time as a patient, Dr. Perwiaz performed at least three surgeries on me that he advised were medically necessary. I later learned that he performed surgeries on my mother, sister and two other relatives that were the same surgeries performed on me. Several years later myself and my husband attempted to conceive our fourth child when we encountered complications with conceiving at which time I went to see another local OBGYN. In speaking with the new OBGYN and advising her of how long my husband and I had been trying to conceive and some of the surgeries I had by Dr. Perwaiz, this OBGYN advised me that those surgeries were not necessary and that what Dr. Perwaiz had told me as the reasons for my surgeries were inaccurate. She advised that what I experienced was a normal process monthly during a womens cycle.

During the years after my surgeries I now believe that those unnecessary surgeries caused at least on of my pregnancies to end in a miscarriage as well as some of my female health issues I exhibit today. While I have had one prior miscarriage and much time has elapsed since, I cannot rule out if the unnecessary procedures conducted on me by Dr. Perwaiz, may have lead to my infertility issues, as well as some of my current female reproductive issues.



Thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From:
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2021 8:52 AM

To: USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records

Subject: Former Patient

My name is and I have been a patient of Dr. Javaid Perwaiz from 2009-2010. I have had 2 surgeries, 1 was removal of a baby that had an encephaly. I was 4-5 months pregnant when I was told the news, and was sent to VCU to have him removed. Months later I had an outpatient surgery where I believed I had to have a DNC. I'm reaching out to you all because I would like a copy of ALL of my records when been seen by Mr. Perwaiz and also for you all to further investigate if my care with him was not fraud related if that make sense. My contact information is 7 email: or you all can simply reply back to this email. Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Sent: Friday, March 5, 2021 9:15 AM
 To: USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records
 Subject: After Watching News - I get concerned

My story sounds so familiar as

Not sure if I should be concerned. I was a patient from the time I was 19 until 50 something. Feeling guilty for making a statement as I always loved Dr. Perwaiz but now after watching news innerviews....my story souund familiar.

Matter of fact - switched doctors right before this all came out - now small things I am remembering and questioning. I did not show up for my last scheduled surgery as I wanted a second opinion. My new doctor never mentioned the cysts. Once I had one removed (Harbor View) and when I visited Dr. Perwaiz he mentioned he was surprised that I had not felt it - but I trusted. He mentioned to me one time that insurance was frowning on anymore ccyst removal and he had discussed with them that I did not want to have hormones becuase of family history. Made me feel like something was coming down the line - that is why I did not show up for the last scheduled surgery. I did not question - was feeling like he was getting older. Like - Dr. Perwaiz called me at home and asked about the surgery why I did not show up - I also felt disapointment in his voice.

At first - I was sympathetic to Dr. Perwaiz as he delivered both my babies, had a good bedside manner. I remember the pat on the left knee - telling me I could get dressed and he would see me in his office. This is all so sad.

Should I be doing something - checking something.

PS: I did pick up my records from the federal building - informed them I had a page or two of someone elses records in my disk - it included personal information even ssn.

- Patient since 1986

e Chesapeake VA 23321

2 Children Deliveries

Miscarriage

2 DNCs - not sure why 2

Case 2:19-cr-00189-RBS-DEM Document 196-1 Filed 05/11/21 Page 10 of 13 PageID# 2116

Tubal ligation - ended up in Emergency room within 6 weeks - thought I had a tubal pregnancy but was diagnosed with kidney stones - gave me something to relax me to pass them and sent me home - although I have never had kidney stones and have never again

Several cyst removals (did not show up for the last one)

From:

Sent:Tuesday, March 2, 2021 9:05 PMTo:USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical RecordsSubject:Attn. Darcel Sessoms/Perwaiz Patient

Darcel Sessoms,

My name is ______. I was a patient of Dr. Perwaiz for many years and I would like to find out if he did anything fraudulent to me. I underwent many procedures, inappropriate conversations and situations that finally caused me to leave and never go back.

My information would be under this information:



Dr. Perwaiz was my doctor for many years.

I was a patient at his practice. I would like my medical records if possible. I had quite a few hysteroscopies and DNC's.

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 3:09 PM **To:** USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records

Subject: Former Patient

Although my case my not be between 2015 - 2019, he preformed surgery on me that I thought was not necessary (endometriosis)

I too, would luke an investigation.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From:
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 6:17 PM

To: USAVAE-Perwaiz Medical Records
Subject: medical records

To whom it may concern, I am writing to try and get copies of my medical records from Dr. Javaid Perwaiz. He was my Dr from roughly 1989-1998 when I had a hysterectomy. I had several instances that were traumatizing that I need to look into. I was when I first started seeing him, but the issues were after I was married, one being part of the birth of my daughter. Thank you for your help.

	96TJMADF Sentence	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	v.	09 CR 213 (DC)
5	BERNARD L. MADOFF,	·
6	Defendant.	
7	x	
8		New York, N.Y.
9		June 29, 2009 10:00 a.m.
10		
11	Before:	
12	HON. DENNY CH	
13		District Judge
14	APPEARANCE	S
15	LEV L. DASSIN	_
16	Acting United States Attorney f Southern District of New York	or the
17	MARC O. LITT LISA A. BARONI	
18	BARBARA A. WARD Assistant United States Attorne	àз
19	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP	
20	Attorneys for Defendant IRA LEE SORKIN	
21	DANIEL J. HORWITZ MAURO M. WOLFE	
22	NICOLE P. DE BELLO	
23	ALSO PRESENT: KEITH D. KELLY, FBI	
24	JULIA SCHULTE HANISH,	USDOJ, FBI
25		
	1)	

	96TJMADF Sentence
1	(In open court)
2	(Case called)
3	THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning. Mr.
4	Madoff, would you please stand.
5	Mr. Madoff, you pled guilty on March 12th, 2009 to 11
6	counts of securities fraud, investment advisor fraud, wire and
7	mail fraud, money laundering, making false statements, perjury,
8	filing false documents with the SEC and theft from employee
9	benefit funds You are here this morning to be sentenced for
10	those crimes.
11	Have you reviewed the presentence report?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your lawyers?
14	THE DEFENDANT: I have.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Sorkin, have you reviewed the
16	presentence report and discussed it with your client?
17	MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor, we have.
18	THE COURT: Do you or your client have any objections
19	to the factual recitations or the guidelines calculation?
20	MR. SORKIN: We do not, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.
22	Ms. Baroni, does the government have any objections to
23	the presentence report?
24	MS. BARONI: No, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

96TJMADF

Sentence

I accept and adopt the factual recitations set forth in the presentence report. I accept and adopt the guidelines calculation set forth in the presentence report with one clarification which I will discuss in a moment.

The total offense level is 52, the criminal history category is I. The PSR concludes that the guideline range is life imprisonment. That is not quite accurate, however, because the guidelines range cannot be life imprisonment as no count carries the possibility of a life sentence. Rather the most serious counts carry a maximum of 20 years' imprisonment.

I look then to Section 5G1.2(d) of the guidelines, which tells us that where there are multiple counts, and the guideline range exceeds the statutory maximum for the most serious count, the court must impose consecutive terms of imprisonment to the extent necessary to achieve the total punishment.

There is a little bit of ambiguity, however, as to what is meant by "total punishment" where the guideline calculation calls for life imprisonment, but Second Circuit case law makes clear that in such a situation, the district court is to stack or add up the maximum sentences for all the counts.

In United States v. Evans, for example, 352 F.3d 65, where the guideline calculation called for life imprisonment but no count carried a life sentence, the court held that the

96TJMADF Sentence

guideline range is 240 years, the maximum sentences for all the counts added together.

Accordingly, here the guideline range is not life imprisonment, but 150 years, the maximum sentences for each of the 11 counts added together. Of course, in light of Booker and the case law that followed, the guideline range is advisory only. While I must give the guideline range fair and respectful consideration, I am not bound by it. In fact, the Probation Department recommends a sentence of 50 years.

Instead I must make an individualized assessment based on all the facts and circumstances, including the factors set forth in the statute. In the end, I must impose a sentence that is reasonable.

We will proceed as follows:

First we will hear from the victims. Then Mr. Sorkin will speak on behalf of Mr. Madoff. Next Mr. Madoff may speak if he wishes. Finally, I will hear from the government.

First the victims. I have received several hundred written statements from victims including the e-mails and letters submitted back in March. Every victim who made a timely request to speak will be permitted to speak today except in two instances. Two members of the same family asked to speak, and we will permit one person to speak on behalf of the family. Two victims have now withdrawn their request. Accordingly, we will hear from 9 victims today.

96TJMADF Sentence

First we will hear from Mr. and Mrs. Ambrosino. The Ambrosinos can step up to the microphone. Go ahead.

Mr. Ambrosino, go ahead. Come up to the microphone so everyone can hear you.

MR. AMBROSINO: Thank you, your Honor. My name is Dominic Ambrosino and my --

THE COURT: Sir, just keep your voice up.

MR. AMBROSINO: I thank the court for allowing me to speak today. As a retired New York City Correction Officer, I am very familiar with the inside of a courtroom. However, I never in my wildest dreams ever expected to be sitting in one as a victim of an indescribably heinous crime --

THE COURT: Mr. Ambrosino, slow down a touch so our Court Reporter can transcribe what you're saying.

MR. AMBROSINO: That dream came true on March 12th as I watched Bernie Madoff stand and be cuffed. However, the dream really started as a nightmare on December 11th. I can remember the exact second my wife told me the news. I immediately knew all the ramifications, but I don't think she did. The fallout from having your entire life savings drop right out from under your nose is truly like nothing you can ever describe. At first it was the obvious, and how will we pay our bills? How can someone do this to us?

We worked honestly and we worked so hard. This can't be real. We did nothing wrong.

96TJMADF

Sentence

I don't know if anyone other than another victim can explain what the less obvious effects are, how every decision directly and indirectly hinged on the fact that we had the security of our savings. When I was able to leave the job, we bought a motor home to travel the country. We took out a mortgage since it was better to keep our savings in Madoff. We sold the house my wife lived in for 27 years and also put all those profits -- and they were high -- into our Madoff account. We trusted that the savings and planning would see us through our retirement.

We had ideas of traveling the country. It all stopped abruptly on December 11th. As a result, we are left with no permanent house, a depreciating motor home, we are upside down on the loan and an income from my pension that is our life. This pension used to be perceived as spending money before December 11th, and now although it doesn't cover our monthly expenses, we rely on it fully. It is all we have.

I sustained a 52 percent hearing loss on my job, and at 49 years' old I can't go back to my previous career so I have taken on a job this summer in Arizona as an construction project coordinator. The job will only last until August.

Then I don't know what I am going to do.

My wife's foot was run over by a van while in New York
City. There was a plea hearing in March. She had a job lined
up before the trip. The expenses of the trip were given to us

96TJMADF

Sentence

and we had to let it go since she was in a cast for eight weeks. She is now rehabilitating and still feels pain when she stands for long periods of time.

With that background as to who I am, I would like to share some of the specific problems Madoff's crime brought to us. My pension distribution, a one-time decision, and our health insurance plan, also one-time decision, were based on the fact that we had savings and security with Madoff. If I should die, my wife is left without my income or health insurance.

We sold our home in New York with the expectation that someday we would have the finances to purchase another one. We have no credit now and can't get a mortgage. We have been forced to take care of people's homes while they are traveling for the summer, as we used to do prior to December 11th.

We have through the generosity of friends been able to stay rent free on the RV lots of people in the community. This will come to a screeching halt in October when the owners return for the winter season. We don't know where we'll go at that time. We don't have enough income from my pension to pay monthly rent.

The most devastating to us is we lost our freedom. We lost the ability to share our life every day as we explore the country every day. We lost the time to hold hands as we walked. As they say in the commercial, this is priceless.

Sentence

In closing, I would like to say, Judge Chin, sentencing Bernard L. Madoff to the fullest extent will certainly not eliminate any of the issues I wrote about. It probably won't even gain me satisfaction. As the guard who used to be on the right side of the prison bars, I'll know what Mr. Madoff's experience will be and will know that he is in prison in much the same way he imprisoned us as well as others.

He took from us the freedom that we held so preciously close to our lives, the very thing I always valued and never took for granted. In a sense, I would like someone in the court today to tell me how long is my sentence.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Mr. and Mrs. FitzMaurice.

MS. EBEL: No, Judge Chin. I am next.

THE COURT: I saw the gentleman standing up next and I thought -- you are Maureen Ebel?

MS. EBEL: Yes, I am. I am here with may brother, William Thomas McDonough.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. EBEL: My name is Maureen Ebel and I am a victim of Bernard L. Madoff.

I have lost all of my life's hard-earned savings. I have lost my life savings because our government has failed me and thousands and thousands of other citizens. There are many

levels of government complicity in this crime. The Securities & Exchange Commission, by its total incompetence and criminal negligence, has allowed a psychopath to steal from me and steal from the world.

I am a 61-year-old widow and I am now working full time. I have done many things to survive since December 11th, including selling a lot of my possessions and working three jobs at the same time. I have lost a home that my husband and I had owned for 25 years because of this theft.

I have lost my ability to care for myself in my old age. I have lost the ability to donate to charity, especially the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society. I have lost my ability to donate my time working for that charity as I had done in the past because now I must work full time in order to eat.

I have lost the ability to help future generations of my family get an education. I have lost the ability to help them with their housing needs. It pains my so much to remember my husband getting up in the middle of the night. He was a very fine physician. He would get up in the middle of the night year after year in all kinds of weather to go to the hospital to save someone's life in rain, ice and snow.

He would save someone's life so that Bernie Madoff could buy his wife another party rock. I have lost the ability to move around the world freely at this stage in my life using the money my husband and I have worked so hard to earn. We had

Sentence

worked, saved and planned for our old age so that we could leave something behind and not be a burden when we became sick and old.

The emotional toll that this has taken on me has been devastating. I have had great pain and suffering at the hands of Bernie Madoff. My health deteriorated rapidly after December 11th. I could not eat or sleep. I was very agitated and hyperactive. I had all the signs and symptoms of someone undergoing great stress. I suffered rapid weight loss, rapid heart rate, sweating, insomnia and sometimes spells.

I had the horrible feeling that I had been pushed into the great black abyss, but I could not indulge these paralyzing feelings too long. I had work to do. While experiencing all these symptoms, I had to sell my home of 25 years, sell may car, sell may possessions and go to work full time. I accepted gifts of money from family and friends to pay for heat, electricity, gasoline and food.

I was the recipient of so many kindnesses and saw so much goodness in people. Goodness in people is something that you, Mr. Madoff, have been blind to your whole life, and that goodness is better than all the yachts and all the French homes in all the world put together.

Sadly, Mr. Madoff not only defrauded thousands of investors, he mastered the art of manipulating our government.

FINRA and the Securities & Exchange Commission became his

Sentence

tools. They were willing to relax all regulations that would have uncovered his fraud. The justification for relaxing the regulations was to ease the burden on Wall Street firms, the very firm that bankrupted the world economy.

THE COURT: Ms. Ebel, this is not the time to criticize the agencies. That is not before me. What is before me is what sentence to impose, so if you would address that, please.

MS. EBEL: I will, Judge Chin.

Mr. Madoff, I have read you will be making a statement about your guilt and shame. I do not believe you. Judge Chin, Mr. Madoff should stay in jail until every person who enabled him to cause such a massive devastation is brought to justice. He should stay in jail until the families of every one of his victims are able to restore their financial stability. That could easily take 150 years. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Mr. and Mrs. FitzMaurice.

MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, Judge Chin, for allowing us to be heard in your courtroom today.

My wife and I here are today representing the thousands of Madoff victims. We have all suffered extensively as a result of his actions. It has been well chronicled that Madoff did not limit his treachery to a few. He stole from the rich, he stole from the poor and he stole from the in-between.

Sentence

He had no boundaries. He stole from individuals as well as charitable organizations of all types and denominations.

My wife and I are not millionaires. He has taken our entire life savings. We have not been overlooked just as many of his other victims. We have worked hard, long and hard for all of our lives to provide for our family and to be in a position to retire someday. I am now forced to work three jobs. My wife is working a full-time job only to make ends meet, to allow us to pay our mortgage and put food on the table.

We are 63 years' old. It will be no retirement for us in the next two or three years. There will be no trips to California to visit our one-year-old grandson. There will be no vacations of any type. Again we are too old to recoup the monies that he has taken from us. We can only work as long as our health will hold up and then we will have to sell our home and hope to survive on social security alone.

Madoff has shown no remorse. Please do not confuse his prepared statement as remorse. His crime was premeditated and calculated. He was attempting to scam investors only days before his arrest. If he had the opportunity, he would still be stealing from innocent investors. He has not truly cooperated with the authorities to recover the money that rightfully belongs to his investors, whom we are now known as victims.

Sentence

He cheated his victims out of their money so that he and his wife Ruth and their two sons could live a life of luxury beyond belief. This life is normally reserved for royalty, not for common thieves.

Your Honor, we implore you to give him the maximum sentence at a maximum prison for this evil lowlife. This would be true justice. Minimum security prison would only allow Madoff too many freedoms that he does not deserve. He would be leading a life better than a lot of his victims. That is not true justice. His was a violent crime without the use of a tangible weapon.

His attorney will argue for a lenient sentence of up to twelve years. That is both insulting and another example of Madoff's arrogance. The scope of the devastation he has wreaked is unparalleled. It is impossible to compare his crime to any past criminal act. The pain he has inflicted will continue for many years. My life will never be the same. I am financially ruined and will worry every day about how I will take care of my wife.

Where will we be able to live? How will we pay our bills? How will we get medical insurance?

All of his victims worldwide will be waiting to see that true justice is served. True justice is a maximum sentence in a maximum security prison. I have a quotation from my wife, since only one of us could speak. She wants to say:

Sentence

"I cry every day when I see the look of pain and despair in my husband's eyes. I cry for the life we once had before that monster took it away. Our two sons and daughter-in-law have rallied with constant love and support. You, on the other hand, Mr. Madoff, have two sons that despise you. Your wife, rightfully so, has been vilified and shunned by her friends in the community. You have left your children a legacy of shame. I have a marriage made in heaven. You have a marriage made in hell, and that is where you, Mr. Madoff, are going to return. May God spare you no mercy."

THE COURT: Thank you.

Next we will hear from Carla Hirschhorn.

MS. HIRSCHHORN: Good morning and thank you, your Honor, for allowing me to address you.

My husband and I write to you to explain the devastation caused by Bernard L. Madoff to our lives. Since 1992 we were invested with Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities. We have never been rich people. We have worked throughout all our adult lives. Over the years my husband has worked hard to learn a trade as a glazer which afforded him the opportunity to start a small business. I have been a physical therapist and worked through to the day I was graduated from college in 1980. We have both diligently saved our hard-earned money to invest with Bernard Madoff over the years. We used our money to raise our children, purchase our home and put our

Sentence

savings in Bernard Madoff Securities.

On December 11th, 2008, our world crumbled beneath us as news of the Bernard Madoff ponzi scheme became public. This turn of events has been devastating to our family. We lost our entire life savings. This money was being used to provide our children with a college education they have worked so hard to deserve and to provide us with savings for a secure retirement.

Since December 11th, 2008 life has been a living hell. It feels like a nightmare that we can't wake from. I am so thankful that my father died two years ago and was spared from having to live in his terminal condition without the money to provide him 24/7 health care which allowed him to die with indignity.

My father died and left my mother believing she would be able to live a safe and secure life with the money in her Bernard Madoff accounts. Now all she has to live on is a sparce social security check and a small pension which will last less than one year. She may not have enough money to maintain her home and living expenses.

It is our hope and in our prayers she does not become ill and require extraordinary means to sustain her. Our daughter who sits in this courtroom today to witness this horrific event is a junior at college and has worked two jobs since our Madoff accounts were stolen while going to school full time. The stress and worry about her family's financial

situation and health of her parents has been devastating to her. We have no idea how we will continue to pay for college without it being a terrible financial burden and worry on all of us.

Immediately after hearing the news of the ponzi scheme, we filed papers for financial aid to sustain our daughter through college. We were informed we were not eligible for any grant money, that our only hope would be to take out loans. However, in this financial environment, without SIPIC insurance and with concern about claw-back litigation, we can't possibly take loans out to send our daughter to college. The turmoil caused by our financial devastation has caused us serious physical and emotional problems from which we need medical treatment.

Your Honor, please understand that we, the investors, have been punished by Madoff's crime. We were devastated by the SEC's failure to uncover Madoff's fraud and its continued stamp of approval behind Madoff over the decades of his crime. We have been abandoned by our elected officials which refuse to require the SEC to find income. We have been betrayed by SIPIC, which in order to save money, has invented a new definition of net equity to deprive us of the \$500,000 of insurance of which we were assured.

Please, your Honor, do not fail us. Please assure that Madoff is sentenced with the maximum possible time and he

is required to serve his sentence in a maximum security prison.

This is not a man who deserves a federal country club.

Respectfully, Carla Hirschhorn.

THE COURT: Thank you.

It is not up to me, by the way, where Mr. Madoff will be designated. A number of people have made that suggestion, but it is up to the Bureau of Prisons.

Next we'll hear from Sharon Lissauer.

MS. LISSAUER: My name is Sharon Lissauer. Thank you your Honor, for letting me speak. I am very emotional, so please bear with me if I break down into tears. As everyone knows, this nightmare has begun six and a half months ago and yet it seems like a lifetime.

I keep on thinking I am going to wake up from it. It keeps on getting worse. My life and my future have been ruined. I was always so careful with my money, but I entrusted everything I had to Mr. Madoff, my whole life savings from modeling and the inheritance of my mom. She just died last year, and as soon as I got the money, because I just miss her and I trusted Mr. Madoff so much, I gave it all to him, but now I don't have my mom or the money.

I know I am not alone. I know he has ruined thousands of people's lives. In the March hearing he said that he was truly sorry, which I don't really believe, but even if it is a little bit true, then I am not asking him, I am begging him, if

he has any money from the offshore accounts or his family has any money obtained from this horrible fraud, that they disgorge it and give it back to the victims so they can have a little bit of their lives back.

With respect to his sentencing, I used to think that it didn't matter if he got 150 years, what would that do for the victims? It wouldn't get their money back. But now upon reflection, I think he should spend his whole life in jail because what he has done is just despicable. He has ruined so many people's lives. He killed my spirit and shattered my dreams. He destroyed my trust in people. He destroyed my life, and I have no other assets. I make very little money from modeling and he left me in a very difficult position to pay my bills and support myself. For the first time in my life I am very, very frightened of my future.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Next we'll hear from Burt Ross. Mr. Ross.

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, my name is Burt Ross and my wife Joan and I lost \$5 million because of the criminal acts of Bernard Madoff. Not only have I lost the inheritance of my father who worked his entire life, not only have I lost the inheritance of my father who worked for his entire life so that his children and his children's children can leave a better life, I have lost our retirement accounts and funds in trust

Sentence

for our children.

The fact is though we are one of the fortunate ones because we still have a roof over our heads, food on our table, unlike so many others who have been forced to sell their homes, who have been forced to sell their homes and pick up the pieces of their lives.

Years ago I attended a Friends secondary school where we thought that in each person there was an inner light, that of God and everyone. For the life of me, as far as I have searched, I cannot find that inner light in Bernard Madoff.

What can we possibly say about Madoff, that he was a philanthropist, when the money he gave to charities he stole from the very same charities he ultimately devastated; that he was a good family man when he leaves his grandchildren a name that mortifies them, a name which will live in infamy; that he is genuinely remorseful for his conduct when the statement he read in this very court was totally without emotion, when even after confessing he fought to keep assets away from those he hurt, when we all know his only regret was getting caught.

Can we say Madoff was a righteous Jew who served on the boards of Jewish institutions when he sank so low, when he sank so low as to steal from Elie Weisel, as if Weisel hasn't already suffered enough in his lifetime.

A righteous Jew, when in reality nobody has done more to reinforce the ugly stereotype that all we care about is

money the fact is there are no people on this earth more charitable? But we will survive. We have survived worse than Madoff.

What Bernard L. Madoff did far transcends the loss of money. It involves his betrayal of the virtues people hold dearest -- love, friendship, trust -- and all so he can eat at the finest restaurants, stay at the most luxurious resorts, and travel on yachts and private jets. He has truly earned his reputation for being the most despised person to be in America today.

Several hundred years ago the Italian poet Dante in his "The Divine Comedy" recognized fraud as the worst of sins, the ultimate evil more than any other act contrary to God's greatest gift to mankind -- love. In fact, he placed the perpetrators of fraud in the lowest depths of hell, even below those who had committed violent acts. And those who betrayed their benefactors were the worst sinners of all, so in the three mouths of Satan struggle Judas for betraying Jesus Christ, and Brutus and Cassius for betraying Julius Caesar.

Please Allow me to take a liberty now by speaking for many of those victims who because of frailty, privacy, distance, or other reasons are unable to bear witness today. We urge your Honor to commit Madoff to prison for the remainder of his natural life, and when he leaves this earth virtually unmourned, may Satan grow a forth mouth where Bernard L. Madoff

Sentence

deserves to spend the rest of eternity.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Michael Schwartz.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Can everyone hear me?

My name is Michael Schwartz. I am 33 years' old. It was my family's trust fund that helped fund the money for Bernard Madoff's organization. Since I was a teenager, I invested into what I thought was a forthright and legitimate investment firm. During this time I made sure I lived well within my means, nothing extravagant. I viewed my investment as a safety net in case I should hit hard times or perhaps face medical issues.

Unfortunately, several months ago, my job was regionalized, eliminated. I was handed a letter of recommendation and sent on my way. It didn't hit me until I got home that the company that you ran had already taken my life savings. At 33, I was wiped out.

I am one of the lucky ones by far. I have my health.

I am young, I have great friends, got a loving wife.

Unfortunately, the money you took from other members of my family wasn't a minor setback. It was quite a bit more. Your Honor, part of the trust fund wasn't set aside for a house in the Hamptons, a large yacht or box seat to the Mets. No, part of that money was set aside to take care of my twin brother who

is mentally disabled, who at 33, he lives at home with my parents and will need care and supervision for the rest of his life.

In the final analysis, my family wants to remember that in addition to stealing from retirees, veterans, widows, Bernard Madoff stole from the disabled. Every time he cashed a check and paid for his family's decadent lifestyle, he killed dreams. My parents had a simple dream for my brother, a week at summer camp, someday being able to live in a good, a good group home. Thanks to Bernard Madoff's greed, complete lack of ethics, that dream will be delayed.

At the end of the day my twin brother will be taken care of. My family is strong enough to weather this storm but, your Honor, I say this without any malice, Bernard Madoff should no longer be allowed back in society. I only hope that his prison sentence is long enough so that his jail cell becomes his coffin. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

We'll hear next from Miriam Siegman.

MS. SIEGMAN: I was born a few blocks from this courthouse. I still live here. On a cold winter's day just before my 65th birthday, the man sitting in front of me announced to the world that he had stolen everything I had.

After that he refused to say another word to his victims. I am here today to bear witness for myself and others, silent

Sentence

1 | victims.

The streets of my childhood felt safe. The streets I wander now feel threatening. The man sitting in this courtroom robbed me. In an instant his words and deeds beat me to near senselessness. He discarded me like road kill. Victims became the byproduct of his greed. We are what is left over, the remnants of stunning indifference and that of politicians and bureaucrats.

Six months have passed. I manage on food stamps. At the end of the month I sometimes scavage in dumpsters. I cannot afford new eyeglasses. I long to go to a concert, but I never do. Sometimes my heartbeats erratically for lack of medication when I cannot pay for it.

I shine my shoes each night, afraid they will wear out. My laundry is done by hand in the kitchen sink. I have collected empty cans and dragged them to redemption centers.

I do this. People ask how are you? My answer always is I'm fine, but it is not always true. I have lived with fear. It strikes me at all hours. I calculate again and again how long I can hold out.

It is only a matter of time. I will be unable to meet my own basic needs, food, shelter, medicine. I feel grief at no longer being able to help support my beloved sister. I feel shame and humiliation asking for help.

I also feel overwhelming sadness. I know that another

96TJMADF Sentence human being did this to me and to all victims, but I don't know 1 why. What I do understand frightens me. The man who did this 2 had deep contempt for his victims. 3 There are many victims including those we never hear 4 from or see; union members, pipe-fitters, laborers, women who 5 work in nursing homes, bricklayers, firemen, working people. 6 One victim shot himself. The inquest informs us he was a 7 highly decorated former soldier who could not face the shame of 8 his ruin, his last words on a humanitarian mission in Afghanistan. By self-admission, this thief among us knew his 10 victims were facing a kind of death at his hands, yet he 11 continued to play with us as a cat would with a mouse. 12 What shall be the punishment for such a man? 13 sentence? Carry the burden we carry, feel his shame, 14 humiliation and isolation as I do. Feel it each day wherever 15 16 you are until life ends. Face an acknowledge the murderous effects of your 17 I long for the truth that might become of a trial 18 life's work. and hope justice had placed a higher premium on truth and 19 expediency. Forgiveness for now, it will have to come from 20 someone other than me. 21 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Finally we'll hear from Sheryl 23 Weinstein. MS. WEINSTEIN: Hello, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Good morning.

25

Sentence

MS. WEINSTEIN: I was introduced to Bernard Madoff 21 years ago at a business meeting. At the time I was the chief financial officer of Hadassah, a charitable women's organization. I now view that day as perhaps the unluckiest day of my life because of the many events set into motion that would eventually have the most profound and devastating effect on me, my husband, my child, my parents, my in-laws and all those who depended upon us for their liveliness.

You have read and you appear from many of us, the old, the young, the healthy and infirm about the unimaginable extent of human tragedy and devastation. According to a Time Magazine article, there are over 3 million individuals worldwide who have been directly or indirectly affected. They, the press and the media, speak of us as being greedy and rich. Most of us are just ordinary working people, worker bees, as I like to refer to us.

My husband and I are now both in our 60's and have been married for 37 years. We have saved for most of our lives by living beneath our means in order to provide for our retirement. This past Thursday at 2:00 o'clock my husband and I sold our home of 20 years. People are always asking how much did we lose? My reply is that when you lose everything, it really doesn't matter because you have nothing left, and we have lost everything.

Many have told us we were lucky -- I no longer know --

to be able to sell in this depressed market although at a greatly reduced amount. We had to sell because four years ago we refinanced our mortgage and gave the excess cash to Bernie Madoff. There was very little left over after all was said and done at the closing.

It is difficult to describe how it feels due to circumstances outside of your control to be virtually forced out of your home, to leave unwillingly. Last Tuesday I walked out following the movers with a thought I would be back before the closing, but knowing in the back of my mind that I wouldn't.

My husband was the last to be in our home. He shared with me his hesitation of not wanting to leave, of wanting to remain, but realizing that staying was no longer an option. We chose not to go to the closing because it would have been too difficult and painful for either of us to be there. For months after December 11th I would wake in the dark hours of the night and early morning and to my horror realize that there were no calming, soothing words I could say to myself because it wasn't a dream. The monster who visited me was true, a reality. Those same thoughts would occur to me upon waking in the morning and during the day and a deep, heavy depression would surround me and not lift.

This went one for many months. I went on after bad dreams, virtually not unable to eat. The sight of food was

. 1.7

making me feel sick, unable to escape the reality of my personal devastation. At times I could not even bear to be alone. I would ask my friends to either stay with me at the office even if there was very little work to do. It would prompt me to pick up the phone to call my husband to be reassured I was not alone.

This continued until March 12th when Madoff entered his plea of guilty. I began to speak out to the media, and the helpless and hopeless feelings began to retreat and I began to feel empowered. It came together for me while being interviewed by Katie Couric. She asked me wasn't I embarrassed being a CPA losing all my money? At that moment I realized and responded no, I am not embarrassed because I did not lose my money. My money was stolen from me.

Ms. Couric said to me you sound angry, and I said yes, you're right. When someone steals from you, you get angry.

That was the beginning of my healing process.

I felt it was important for somebody who as personally acquainted with Madoff to speak. My family and I are not anonymous people to him. He knows my husband's name is Rob and my son's name is Eric. In fact, Eric worked for him one summer while in college many years ago. Eric would continue to call him over the years to ask for his advice and input. Eric entrusted him with his money that he worked and saved. a few months before all this happened Eric had spoken to him and

Sentence

thanked him for doing such a good job.

I would now like to have the opportunity to share with you my personal feelings about Madoff and to speak to his sentencing.

I remember when my son was perhaps a few weeks' old and I would watch him as he slept and he would whimper, not a cry of hunger, but a whimper. Even at a few weeks' old there was something in his subconscious that could frighten him. It amazed me such a young child, an infant can have nightmares.

All of us from our earliest ages remember those times when the terror, the monsters and goblins would come visit us in those dark hours. Eventually we would be so frightened that we would awake sometimes calling out to our parents because of the fear.

It was calming to have our parents remind us it was only a dream. As we got older, we could wake ourselves and self-assure ourselves it was only a dream. That terror, that monster, that horror, that beast has a name to me, and it is Bernard L. Madoff. I will now attempt to explain to you the nature of this beast who I called Madoff.

He walks among us. He dresses like us. He drives and eats and drinks and speaks. Under the facade there is truly a beast. He is a beast that has stolen for his own needs the livelihoods, savings, lives, hopes and dreams and futures of others in total disregard. He has fed upon us to satisfy his

own needs. No matter how much he takes and from whom he takes, he is never satisfied. He is an equal opportunity destroyer.

I felt it important for you to know in appearance, he would be just like everybody else and it is for this reason I am asking your Honor to keep him in a cage behind bars because he has lost the privilege of walking and being among us mortal human beings. He should not be given the opportunity to walk into our society again.

I would like to suggest that while any man, woman or child that has been affected by his heinous crime still walks this earth, Madoff the beast should not be free to walk among them. You should protect society from the likes of him. I have reread Madoff's March 12th statement to you. Certain quotes jumped out at me. His continuing self-serving references, and I quote, that his proprietary trading in the market making business managed by his brother and two sons was legitimate, profitable and successful in all respects, or that he felt, "compelled to satisfy my clients' expectations at any cost."

It sounds as if he is laying the blame on his clients' expectations and never admitting the truth he was stealing from these clients and the lives he ruined. If he was attempting to protect his family, he should not be given that opportunity because we, the victims, did not have the same opportunity to protect our families. Madoff the beast has stolen our ability

to protect our loved ones away from us. He should have no opportunity to protect his family.

We, the victims, are greatly disappointed by those agencies that were set up to protect us. SIPIC has now redefined what we are entitled to. The IRS approved their office request to be a custodian of our IRAs and pension funds and the SEC appears to have looked the other way on numerous occasions. This is a human tragedy of historic proportions and we ask -- no, we implore -- that those whose agencies may have failed us in the past through acts of omissions, step up to the plate, fulfill their responsibilities. I thank your Honor for your indulgence and I feel comfortable you will make sure justice is served.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Thanks to all the victims who spoke today and to all those who wrote. I appreciate hearing your views.

Mr. Sorkin.

MR. SORKIN: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. SORKIN: Before I speak, would your Honor respectfully acknowledge you have received both the government's sentencing memorandum and two responses?

THE COURT: Yes, I have your initial letter. I received yesterday your reply brief. I have the government's

Sentence

memorandum as well.

96TJMADF

MR. SORKIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: I have read them all.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you, your Honor.

May I proceed?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SORKIN: Your Honor, I know I speak on behalf of all Mr. Madoff's counsel as well as Mr. Madoff who will speak. We cannot be unmoved by what we heard. There is no way that we cannot be insensitive to the victims' suffering.

This is a tragedy as some of the victims have said at every level. There is no doubt Mr. Madoff will speak. We represent a deeply flawed individual, but we represent, your Honor, a human being. We don't represent a statistic. We don't represent a number. We speak to the victims. We have heard what they've had to say and we can only imagine, your Honor, what we would have heard from others.

I say again, forgive me for being redundant, we represent a very flawed individual, an individual who appears before this court facing a sentence that is sufficient but not unreasonably necessary to carry out the mandate that this court has to carry out.

The magnificence of our legal system, your Honor, is that we do not seek an eye for an eye. To be sure, if it is any consolation to the victims, we have worked hopefully

diligently with the U.S. Attorney's Office in an atmosphere of trying to recover assets. To that extent, your Honor, we have provided the government with what we believe to be the assets that Mr. Madoff has gathered over the years which the victims have referred to, and again if it is any consolation to them, to the extent that the government has left him and his family, his wife impoverished, we are just about there with respect to everything the government believes it can show in order to obtain the appropriate assets for forfeiture.

Vengeance is not the goal of punishment. Our system of justice, your Honor, has recognized that justice is and must always be blind and fair -- not blind to the criminal acts that Mr. Madoff pleaded guilty to and certainly not blind to the suffering of the victims, but blind to the extent that it will achieve a sentence that has been set out over the years in the guidelines and the cases interpreting the guidelines, and the guidelines and the courts and the statutes, your Honor, do not speak of vengeance and revenge.

There is something bordering on the absurd, and we cited United States versus Ellison on this point, your Honor. For the government to ask for 150 years so that Mr. Madoff gets out of jail at the age of 221 because he is 71 now, he will face supervised release. By the same token, your Honor, it defies reason for the Probation Department to suggest that he be sentenced to 50 years in prison for the very same reasons.

Sentence

I point out to the court, and forgive me, your Honor, for repeating what is in the letter we sent you most recently, that Mr. Madoff, as he pleaded to, as appears in the presentence report and appears in the information in which the government agrees, for most of the period of time that Mr. Madoff is alleged to have engaged in this ponzi scheme and, in fact, it was a ponzi scheme, it was money in and money out.

Most of the money, and I am quoting from the PSR, went

Most of the money, and I am quoting from the PSR, went for redemptions. People who invested money were given back money. To be sure, it was a fraud. To be sure, it was a ponzi scheme. To be sure, it was a crime, but nevertheless, your Honor, I point out, and in response respectfully to some of the victims, the PSR noted, and I think it is common knowledge in the industry that Mr. Madoff built up this firm on the proprietary trading side to the point in 1991, as the presentence report points out, the proprietary trading side which at the point of his arrest had approximately 200 employees separate and apart from the fraudulent advisory business, a hundred traders making markets and in 1991, your Honor, accounted for almost 10 percent of all transactions on the New York Stock Exchange.

Sufficient to provide revenue at the same time Mr.

Madoff engaged in taking money in and taking money out, most of that money went for redemptions. As we point out in our letter of yesterday, and as the government notes and as the PSR notes,

96TJMADF Sentence the loans, the comingling, and we we do dispute this with the government, but I don't think it is a relevant issue, the comingling, the loans. (Continued on next page)

MR. SORKIN: The loans, the commingling, commenced within the last eight to ten years. And as Mr. Madoff will say, things began to collapse. And there was commingling with \$250 million over the last eight or so years, of advisory money, as well as money in, money out of investments.

I think it's important to note, your Honor, again that Mr. Madoff stepped forward. He chose not to flee. He chose not to hide money. To the extent money is overseas, we are still actively engaged -- we, his defense counsel -- in assisting the government, at the request of the government, to obtain assets located overseas, as we speak, and we submitted that voluntarily, and we have been trying to help, with Mr. Madoff's authorization, permission, and blessing.

Mr. Madoff is 71 years old, your Honor. Based upon his health, which is in the PSR, his family history, his life expectancy, that is why we ask for a sentence of 12 years, just short, based upon the statistics that we have, of a life sentence.

We also said, if your Honor is inclined, your Honor obviously makes the decision, 15 to 20 years. So that if Mr. Madoff ever sees the light of day, in his 90s, impoverished and alone, he will have paid a terrible price. He expects, your Honor, to live out his years in prison.

The PSR points out, your Honor, as we noted in our letter to you, that the loss in this case is \$13,226,000,000.

The exact numbers are in the PSR. What has not been heard publicly, your Honor, is the fact that over \$1,276,000,000 is held by the SIPC trustee, and we have no control over how that money is disbursed. And I say this for the victims we have heard. Again, we have no control over what the SIPC trustee does with the money that he obtains, nor do we have any control over what the SEC will do, nor do we have any control as to how the government to whom we have forfeited all of the assets but a few, which the government and we have agreed were weighed against the risk of litigation, we have no control how that money is disbursed.

Additionally to the \$1,276,000,000, the SIPC trustee, according to the PSR, has recovered \$1,225,000,000, has sent demand letters to individuals for 735 million, and has commenced litigation to seek a clawback from some very large funds to obtain redemptions and interest payments in the amount of \$10,100,000,000. It is our hope, your Honor, our sincerest hope, that all that money is collected, in an amount in excess of \$13,226,000,000, that that will be provided to investors.

The frenzy, the media excitement, that Mr. Madoff engaged in a Ponzi scheme involving \$65 billion and that he has ferreted money away, as far as we know, your Honor, that is simply not true, and it is not borne out either by the government or by the PSR, and we take no issue with the PSR.

In closing, your Honor, there is no question that this

case has taken an enormous toll, not only on Mr. Madoff and his family, but to the victims to be sure. But it has also taken a toll, your Honor, as Mr. Madoff will say, on the industry that he helped revolutionize, that he helped grow, and now has become the object of disrespect and abomination, and that is a tragedy as well.

We ask only, your Honor, that Mr. Madoff be given understanding and fairness, within the parameters of our legal system, and that the sentence that he be given be sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to carry out what this Court must carry out under the rules, statutes and guidelines.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Madoff, if you would like to speak, now is the time.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I cannot offer you an excuse for my behavior. How do you excuse betraying thousands of investors who entrusted me with their life savings? How do you excuse deceiving 200 employees who have spent most of their working life working for me? How do you excuse lying to your brother and two sons who spent their whole adult life helping to build a successful and respectful business? How do you excuse lying and deceiving a wife who stood by you for 50 years, and still stands by you? And how do you excuse deceiving an industry that you spent a better part of your life

trying to improve? There is no excuse for that, and I don't ask any forgiveness.

Although I may not have intended any harm, I did a great deal of harm. I believed when I started this problem; this crime, that it would be something I would be able to work my way out of, but that became impossible. As hard as I tried, the deeper I dug myself into a hole. I made a terrible mistake, but it wasn't the kind of mistake that I had made time and time again, which is a trading mistake. In my business, when you make a trading error, you're expected to make a trading error, it's accepted. My error was much more serious. I made an error of judgment. I refused to accept the fact, could not accept the fact, that for once in my life I failed. I couldn't admit that failure and that was a tragic mistake.

I am responsible for a great deal of suffering and pain. I understand that. I live in a tormented state now knowing of all the pain and suffering that I have created. I have left a legacy of shame, as some of my victims have pointed out, to my family and my grandchildren. That's something I will live with for the rest of my life.

People have accused me of being silent and not being sympathetic. That is not true. They have accused my wife of being silent and not being sympathetic. Nothing could be further from the truth. She cries herself to sleep every night knowing of all the pain and suffering I have caused, and I am

tormented by that as well. She was advised to not speak publicly until after my sentencing by our attorneys, and she complied with that. Today she will make a statement about how she feels about my crimes. I ask you to listen to that. She is sincere and all I ask you is to listen to her.

Apologizing and saying I am sorry, that's not enough.

Nothing I can say will correct the things that I have done. I feel terrible that an industry I spent my life trying to improve is being criticized terribly now, that regulators who I helped work with over the years are being criticized by what I have done. That is a horrible guilt to live with. There is nothing I can do that will make anyone feel better for the pain and suffering I caused them, but I will live with this pain, with this torment for the rest of my life.

I apologize to my victims. I will turn and face you.

I am sorry. I know that doesn't help you.

Your Honor, thank you for listening to me.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Sorkin, did I understand Mr. Madoff to say that Mrs. Madoff wanted to speak?

MR. SORKIN: No, your Honor. Mrs. Madoff after the sentencing will be giving a statement. And I add what Mr. Madoff said about belaboring it, that she was advised by counsel to wait till after sentence.

THE COURT: I thought he was saying she wanted to

speak. Thank you.

I will hear from the government.

MS. BARONI: This defendant carried out a fraud of unprecedented proportion over the course of more than a generation. For more than 20 years he stole ruthlessly and without remorse. Thousands of people placed their trust in him and he lied repeatedly to all of them. And as the Court heard from all of the victims, in their words and in the letters, he destroyed a lifetime of hard work of thousands of victims. And he used that victims' money to enrich himself and his family, with an opulent lifestyle, homes around the world, yachts, private jets, and tens of millions of dollars of loans to his family, loans of investors' money that has never been repaid.

The guideline sentence in this case, as your Honor knows, is 150 years and the government respectfully submits that a sentence of 150 years or a substantial term of imprisonment that will ensure that he spends the rest of his life in jail is appropriate in this case.

This was not a crime born of any financial distress or market pressures. It was a calculated, well orchestrated, long-term fraud, that this defendant carried out month after month, year after year, decade after decade. He created literally hundreds and hundreds of thousands of fake documents every year. Every time he told his clients that he was making trades for them he sent them trade confirmations filled with

lies. At every month end he sent them account statements that were nothing but lies. And the defendant knew that his clients made critically important life decisions, as your Honor heard today, based on these lies. Decisions about their children's education, their retirement, how to care for elderly relatives, and how to provide for their families. He knew this, and he stole from them anyway.

In doing so, he drove charities, companies, pension plans and families to economic ruin. And even on the most dispassionate view of the evidence, the scale of the fraud, which is at a conservative estimate, your Honor, \$13 billion, when you look at the duration of the fraud, which is more than 20 years, when you look at the fact that the defendant could have stopped this fraud and saved the victims' losses, all of these facts justify a guideline sentence of 150 years.

And to address briefly some of Mr. Sorkin's arguments, despite Mr. Sorkin's arguments, the defendant here deserves no leniency and certainly does not deserve a sentence of 12 years' imprisonment.

Mr. Sorkin tries to argue that the loss amount is actually going to be less than 13 billion because the trustee may recover some assets in clawback proceedings. As your Honor knows, that has nothing to do with the loss amount in this case. Further, the defendant shouldn't get any credit for anything the government or the trustee does after the fraud to

recover money.

In asking for 12 years, your Honor, the defendant is asking you to impose a sentence that a defendant would receive in a garden variety fraud case in this district, a case with about \$20 million of losses and far fewer victims. In imposing a 12 year sentence in this case, on the facts and circumstances here, would be profoundly unfair. Not only would it not reflect the seriousness and the scope of the defendant's crimes, but, also, it would not promote the goals of general deterrence going forward.

Mr. Sorkin's argument that the defendant should get some credit for coming forward and turning himself in is also entirely meritless. The defendant continued his fraud scheme until the very end, when he knew the scheme was days away from collapse, when he was almost out of money and when he was faced with redemption requests from clients that he knew he could not meet. And even at that point, rather than turning himself in, he tried to take the last of his victims' money. He prepared \$173 million in checks that he planned to give to his family, his friends, and some preferred clients. It was his final effort to put his interests above those of his clients, and had the FBI not arrested him when they did, he might well have succeeded.

Your Honor, in sum, for running an investment advisory business that was a complete fraud, for betraying his clients

for decades, and for repeatedly lying to regulators to cover up his fraud, for the staggering harm that he has inflicted on thousands of people, for all of these reasons and all of the reasons your Honor heard so eloquently from the victims, the government respectfully requests that the Court sentence the defendant to 150 years in prison or a substantial term of imprisonment that ensures that he will spend the rest of his life in jail.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I take into account what I have read in the presentence report, the parties' sentencing submissions, and the e-mails and letters from victims. I take into account what I have heard today. I also consider the statutory factors as well as all the facts and circumstances in the case.

In his initial letter on behalf of Mr. Madoff, Mr. Sorkin argues that the unified tone of the victims' letters suggests a desire for mob vengeance. He also writes that Mr. Madoff seeks neither mercy nor sympathy, but justice and objectivity.

Despite all the emotion in the air, I do not agree with the suggestion that victims and others are seeking mob vengeance. The fact that many have sounded similar themes does not mean that they are acting together as a mob. I do agree that a just and proportionate sentence must be determined,

objectively, and without hysteria or undue emotion.

Objectively speaking, the fraud here was staggering. It spanned more than 20 years. Mr. Madoff argues in his reply letter that the fraud did not begin until the 1990s. I guess it's more that the commingling did not begin until the 1990s, but it is clear that the fraud began earlier. And even if it is true that it only started in the 1990s, the fraud exceeded ten years, still an extraordinarily long period of time. The fraud reached thousands of victims.

As for the amount of the monetary loss, there appears to be some disagreement. Mr. Madoff disputes that the loss amount is \$65 billion or even \$13 billion. But Mr. Madoff has now acknowledged, however, that some \$170 billion flowed into his business as a result of his fraudulent scheme. The presentence report uses a loss amount of \$13 billion, but as I understand it, that number does not include the losses from moneys invested through the feeder funds. That's what the PSR states. Mr. Madoff argues that the \$13 billion amount should be reduced by the amounts that the SIPC trustee may be able to claw back, but that argument fails. Those clawbacks, if they happened, will result in others who suffered losses. Moreover, Mr. Madoff told his sons that there were \$50 billion in losses. In any event, by any of these monetary measures, the fraud here is unprecedented.

Moreover, the offense level of 52 is calculated by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

using a chart for loss amount that only goes up to \$400 million. By any of these measures, the loss figure here is many times that amount. It's off the chart by many fold.

Moreover, as many of the victims have pointed out, this is not just a matter of money. The breach of trust was Investors -- individuals, charities, pension funds, institutional clients -- were repeatedly lied to, as they were told their moneys would be invested in stocks when they were Clients were sent these millions of pages of account statements that the government just alluded to confirming trades that were never made, attesting to balances that did not exist. As the victims' letters and e-mails demonstrate, as the statements today demonstrate, investors made important life decisions based on these fictitious account statements -- when to retire, how to care for elderly parents, whether to buy a car or sell a house, how to save for their children's college Charitable organizations and pension funds made important decisions based on false information about fictitious accounts. Mr. Madoff also repeatedly lied to the SEC and the regulators, in writing and in sworn testimony, by withholding material information, by creating false documents to cover up his scheme.

It is true that Mr. Madoff used much of the money to pay back investors who asked along the way to withdraw their accounts. But large sums were also taken by him, for his

personal use and the use of his family, friends, and colleagues. The PSR shows, for example, that Mr. Madoff reported adjusted gross income of more than \$250 million on his tax returns for the ten year period from 1998 through 2007. On numerous occasions, Mr. Madoff used his firm's bank accounts which contained customer funds to pay for his personal expenses and those of his family, including, for example, the purchase of a Manhattan apartment for a relative, the acquisition of two yachts, and the acquisition of four country club memberships at a cost of \$950,000. Billions of dollars more were paid to individuals who generated investments for Mr. Madoff through these feeder funds.

Mr. Madoff argues a number of mitigating factors but they are less than compelling. It is true that he essentially turned himself in and confessed to the FBI. But the fact is that with the turn in the economy, he was not able to keep up with the requests of customers to withdraw their funds, and it is apparent that he knew that he was going to be caught soon. It is true that he consented to the entry of a \$170 billion forfeiture order and has cooperated in transferring assets to the government for liquidation for the benefit of victims. But all of this was done only after he was arrested, and there is little that he could have done to fight the forfeiture of these assets. Moreover, the SIPC trustee has advised the Court Mr. Madoff has not been helpful, and I simply do not get the

sense that Mr. Madoff has done all that he could or told all that he knows.

Mrs. Madoff has stipulated to the transfer of some \$80 million in assets to the government for the benefit of victims, but the record also shows that as it became clear that Mr. Madoff's scheme was unraveling, he made substantial loans to family members, he transferred some \$15 million of firm funds into his wife's personal accounts, and he wrote out the checks that the government has just described.

I have taken into account the sentences imposed in other financial fraud cases in this district. But, frankly, none of these other cases is comparable to this case in terms of the scope, duration and enormity of the fraud, and the degree of the betrayal.

In terms of mitigating factors in a white-collar fraud case such as this, I would expect to see letters from family and friends and colleagues. But not a single letter has been submitted attesting to Mr. Madoff's good deeds or good character or civic or charitable activities. The absence of such support is telling.

We have heard much about a life expectancy analysis.

Based on this analysis, Mr. Madoff has a life expectancy of 13

years, and he therefore asks for a sentence of 12 years or

alternatively 15 to 20 years. If Mr. Sorkin's life expectancy

analysis is correct, any sentence above 20 or 25 years would be

largely, if not entirely, symbolic.

But the symbolism is important, for at least three reasons. First, retribution. One of the traditional notions of punishment is that an offender should be punished in proportion to his blameworthiness. Here, the message must be sent that Mr. Madoff's crimes were extraordinarily evil, and that this kind of irresponsible manipulation of the system is not merely a bloodless financial crime that takes place just on paper, but that it is instead, as we have heard, one that takes a staggering human toll. The symbolism is important because the message must be sent that in a society governed by the rule of law, Mr. Madoff will get what he deserves, and that he will be punished according to his moral culpability.

Second, deterrence. Another important goal of punishment is deterrence, and the symbolism is important here because the strongest possible message must be sent to those who would engage in similar conduct that they will be caught and that they will be punished to the fullest extent of the law.

Finally, the symbolism is also important for the victims. The victims include individuals from all walks of life. The victims include charities, both large and small, as well as academic institutions, pension funds, and other entities. Mr. Madoff's very personal betrayal struck at the rich and the not-so-rich, the elderly living on retirement

funds and social security, middle class folks trying to put their kids through college, and ordinary people who worked hard to save their money and who thought they were investing it safely, for themselves and their families.

I received letters, and we have heard from, for example, a retired forest worker, a corrections officer, an auto mechanic, a physical therapist, a retired New York City school secretary, who is now 86 years old and widowed, who must deal with the loss of her retirement funds. Their money is gone, leaving only a sense of betrayal.

I was particularly struck by one story that I read in the letters. A man invested his family's life savings with Mr. Madoff. Tragically, he died of a heart attack just two weeks later. The widow eventually went in to see Mr. Madoff. He put his arm around her, as she describes it, and in a kindly manner told her not to worry, the money is safe with me. And so not only did the widow leave the money with him, she eventually deposited more funds with him, her 401(k), her pension funds. Now, all the money is gone. She will have to sell her home, and she will not be able to keep her promise to help her granddaughter pay for college.

A substantial sentence will not give the victims back their retirement funds or the moneys they saved to send their children or grandchildren to college. It will not give them back their financial security or the freedom from financial

worry. But more is at stake than money, as we have heard. The victims put their trust in Mr. Madoff. That trust was broken in a way that has left many -- victims as well as others -- doubting our financial institutions, our financial system, our government's ability to regulate and protect, and sadly, even themselves.

I do not agree that the victims are succumbing to the temptation of mob vengeance. Rather, they are doing what they are supposed to be doing -- placing their trust in our system of justice. A substantial sentence, the knowledge that Mr. Madoff has been punished to the fullest extent of the law, may, in some small measure, help these victims in their healing process.

Mr. Madoff, please stand.

It is the judgment of this Court that the defendant,
Bernard L. Madoff, shall be and hereby is sentenced to a term
of imprisonment of 150 years, consisting of 20 years on each of
Counts 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 10, 5 years on each of Counts 2, 8,
9, and 11, and 10 years on Count 7, all to run consecutively to
each other. As a technical matter, the sentence must be
expressed on the judgment in months. 150 years is equivalent
to 1,800 months.

Although it is academic, for technical reasons, I must also impose supervised release. I impose a term of supervised release of 3 years on each count, all to run concurrently. The

mandatory, standard, and special conditions are imposed, as set forth on pages 58 and 59 of the PSR.

I will not impose a fine, as whatever assets

Mr. Madoff has, as whatever assets may be found, they shall be
applied to restitution for the victims.

As previously ordered, I will defer the issue of restitution for 90 days.

Finally, I will impose the mandatory special assessment of \$1,100, \$100 for each count.

Mr. Sorkin, any requests?

MR. SORKIN: Yes, your Honor.

As you pointed out to one of the victims, you cannot designate a prison, but we would ask, based upon an analysis that we have done that in 75 percent of the cases recommendations made by the court are followed by the Bureau of Prisons, we respectfully request that your Honor recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that Mr. Madoff be designated to Otisville.

THE COURT: I will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that Mr. Madoff be designated to an appropriate facility in the northeast region of the United States.

MR. SORKIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Baroni?

MS. BARONI: Two issues. If you can specifically incorporate by reference the forfeiture order of Friday,

pronounce it as part of the sentence.

THE COURT: The forfeiture order is hereby incorporated.

MS. BARONI: Special assessment.

THE DEFENDANT: I did the special assessment of \$1,100.

MS. BARONI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Madoff, please stand one more time.

Mr. Madoff, you have the right to appeal at least certain aspects of this judgment and conviction. If you wish to appeal, you must do so within ten days. If you cannot afford an attorney, the court will appoint one for you.

We are adjourned.

(Adjourned)