IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

KAREN BARNES,		Plaintiff,
V.	Civil Action No.:	

CITY OF NORFOLK, VIRGINIA,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the plaintiff, Karen Barnes (hereinafter "Barnes") and for her Complaint against City of Norfolk, Virginia (hereinafter "Norfolk"), states as follows:

The Parties

- At all times relevant to this action, Barnes was an individual and a resident of Chesapeake, Virginia and an employee of Norfolk.
- At all times relevant to this action, Norfolk was a municipal corporation employing more than 500 individuals in the Norfolk, Virginia area. At all times relevant to this action, Norfolk was an "employer" within the meaning of Title VII §701, 42 U.S.C. §2000e(b) in that Norfolk was a person engaging in an industry affecting commerce and had fifteen or more employees for each

working day in each of the twenty or more calendar weeks during the years that it employed Barnes or the preceding calendar year.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- This case involves an action discrimination in employment based on sex, hostile work environment based upon gender and retaliation pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et seq.
- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f) and 28 U.S.C. §1343(4).
- Barnes timely filed charges of gender discrimination with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") on November 18, 2016, charge number 437-2007-00164.
- On May 31, 2016, Barnes retired from Norfolk early due to repeated acts of gender discrimination by Norfolk and retaliation by Norfolk for Barnes complaints of gender discrimination by Norfolk.
- On February 7, 2019, Barnes received Notice of Right to Sue letters from the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- 8 Barnes has exhausted her administrative remedies prior to filing this action.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Honorable Court as all acts giving rise to plaintiff's cause of action occurred within the Eastern District of Virginia in the Norfolk division.

Facts

- 10. Barnes became employed by Norfolk on December 1, 1985 as an EMT officer. Over the next 30 years, Barnes was promoted to the position of Assistant City Fire Marshall for Norfolk. In 2007, Barnes was given law enforcement powers by Norfolk and permitted to carry a weapon.
- 11. Barnes is a female and a member of a protected class within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Right Act of 1964.
- 12. In October of 2014, Barnes and other a female employees of the Norfolk Fire Marshall's office, including Karen Baka, solicited a large number of staff to write letters of complaint regarding Chief Burris and Captain Ansell of the Norfolk Fire Marshall's office alleging disparate treatment of female employees as well as a hostile work environment based upon gender.
- 13. Following Barnes' complaints of gender discrimination in October, 2014, Captain Ansell was reassigned. However, Chief Burris remained in command of the Norfolk Fire Marshall's Office and immediately began a campaign of retaliation against Barnes and others for their complaints of gender discrimination. At one point, in October 2014, Chief Burris stated anyone that "went behind" his back to complaint would "feel his wrath."

- 14. At all times relevant to this action, Chief Burris and Chief Wise were males and were direct supervisors of Barnes.
- Prior to the autumn of 2015, Barnes was (and remained) an exemplary employee with no disciplinary actions on her employment record with Norfolk.
- Beginning in the fall of 2015, Chief Burris began routinely subjecting Barnes to verbal attacks and treated her less favorably than similarly situated male employees. The verbal acts were based on Barnes' status as a female employee of the Fire Marshall's office.
- 17. The Norfolk Fire Marshall's office routinely discriminated against

 Barnes and other female employees of the Fire Marshalls' office in
 that that it:
 - Failed to allow Barnes and other female employees the same training opportunities as similarly situate male employees;
 - Asking male and female officers if they "had the testicles and ovaries" to tell him what they were doing;
 - Denying female employees (including Barnes) the opportunity to participate in the bar task force while similarly situate male employees were allowed to participate;

- Routinely sent demeaning emails to Barnes and copied the email to other employees in the office. This conduct was not practices on similarly situate male employees;
- Chief Burris would routinely yell as Barnes both privately and in public. Burris did not yell at similarly situated male employees;
- After Barnes' filed her complaints of gender discrimination, on April 20, 2016, Chief Burris came to Barnes' office, conspicuously placed his hand on his gun and asked
 Barnes in a loud angry tone "Are you having a good day?"
- 18. In January 2016, Barnes filed an additional complaint of gender discrimination. Chief Wise of the Norfolk Fire Department advised Karen Barnes that he was "looking into" their complaints about the conduct of Chief Burris at a December 2015 meeting.
- 19. In February 2016, Chief Mann was brought in to investigate the complaints of discrimination by Barnes. Chief Mann and Chief Burris would go to lunch often with Chief Mann during this period and Chief Mann stated that Chief Burris was a friend of his.
- 20. From January 2016 through March 2016, Chief Wise routinely belittled Barnes in front of colleagues.
- In May, 2016, Karen Barnes brought her complaints about Chief
 Burris and the hostile work environment based upon gender at the
 fire department to Norfolk's City Auditor, John Sanderlin. Barnes

- specifically advised Sanderlin that Chief Burris and Chief Wise treated Barnes and other female employees far less favorably than his similarly situated male coworkers. Barnes also advised Sanderlin that she had been retaliated against for her opposition to said gender discrimination.
- 22. In July 2016, Chief Burris asked one of Barnes' coworkers, Scott Phillips-Gartner if he "knew what was going on" with Barnes' gender discrimination complaint.
- 23. As a result of the general discrimination, hostile work environment based upon gender and retaliation, Barnes chose to retire effective May 31, 2016.
- 24. Prior to her retirement date, Barnes requested to purchase her service weapon and to obtain a retired law enforcement officer concealed weapon permit and many officers had done in the past.
- 25. Prior to Barnes' request, the Norfolk Fire Marshall's office had routinely granted similarly situated male employee and male employees with less service time than Barnes the right to purchase their service weapon and obtain retired law enforcement concealed carry permits.
- 26. Chief Wise denied Barnes' request to purchase her service weapon and to obtain a retired law enforcement officer concealed weapon permit.

27. Chief Wise's decision to deny Barnes' request was based upon Barnes' gender and in direct retaliation for Barnes' opposition to gender discrimination and in direct retaliation for Barnes' participation in an EEOC investigation.

Count I (Title VII--Hostile Work Environment)

- 28. Paragraphs 1 through 27 of the Complaint are hereby fully incorporated by reference as if fully re-alleged herein.
- 29. As a term and condition of her employment, Norfolk regularly subjected Barnes to an offensive, demeaning, humiliating and hostile work environment based upon Barnes' Gender (female).
- 30. The conduct of Norfolk was unwelcome, was based upon Barnes' gender and was severe, regular and pervasive enough to create an objectively hostile, offensive and abusive working environment based upon sex/gender so as to alter the conditions of Barnes employment.
- 31. The offensive conduct of Norfolk was severe, regular and pervasive enough to cause Barnes to suffer humiliation and stress at work as well as psychological and physical harm that interfered with her job performance.
- 32. Even after Barnes and others reported the severe and pervasive harassment by Barnes' supervisors to Norfolk's human resources department and the City Auditor, Norfolk took no remedial action.

As a direct and proximate result of the hostile and offensive work environment based upon gender that was created and maintained by Norfolk, Barnes has suffered damages including denial of job promotions, constructive termination of employment, pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment of life.

Count II (Title VII—Gender Discrimination)

- 34. Paragraphs 1 through 33 of the Complaint are hereby fully incorporated by reference as if fully re-alleged herein.
- 35. Norfolk routinely treated its female employees less favorably than similarly situated male employees.
- 36. Norfolk, through its supervisors, routinely discriminated against its female employees, including Barnes, subjected them to harsh and demeaning terms and conditions of employment (including cleaning fire debris) while not subjecting similarly situated male employees to those same terms and conditions of employment.
- 37. Norfolk, through its supervisors, routinely discriminated against its female employees, including Barnes, by denying certain more desirable jobs such as the bar task force or assignment to the environmental crimes task force while allowing similarly situated but less qualified male employees those jobs.
- 38. At all times that Barnes was subjected to the foregoing adverse employment actions, Barnes was qualified and performed her job

- duties at a level that reasonably met Norfolk's legitimate expectations.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Norfolk's actions, Barnes was subjected to adverse employment actions based upon her gender.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Norfolk's actions, Barnes suffered damages including lost salary and benefits as well as pain and suffering, inconvenience and loss of enjoyment of life.

Count III (Title VII—Retaliation—Oppositional Activities and Participation Activities)

- 41. Paragraphs 1 through 40 of the Complaint are hereby fully incorporated by reference as if fully re-alleged herein.
- 42. Norfolk knowingly and intentionally retaliated against Barnes, including but not limited to constructively terminating her employment, in direct retaliation for Barnes actions taken to oppose gender discrimination against Karen Barnes' and other female employees'
- 43. Norfolk knowingly and intentionally retaliated against Barnes, including but not limited to constructively terminating her employment, in direct retaliation for Barnes' participation a gender discrimination complaint filed by Scott Phillips-Gartner.
- 44. In fact, the retaliatory conduct by Norfolk towards Barnes increased and became more severe after she began to oppose gender discrimination and complained to management at Norfolk's City Auditor and Human Resources Department.

As a direct and proximate result of Norfolk's retaliation against

Barnes, she has suffered damages including lost salary and
benefits as well as pain and suffering, inconvenience and loss of
enjoyment of life.

Jury Demand

46. Barnes demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in this action.

Relief Sought

WHEREFORE, Barnes moves this Honorable Court to enter judgment in his favor against Norfolk and to award:

- A. All of Barnes' lost salary and benefits from May 1, 2016 until entry of judgment in this action plus interest on such back pay;
- B. Barnes' lost future salary and benefits;
- Damages for emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience
 and loss of enjoyment of life;
- D. An award of Barnes' attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action as well as other costs incurred in this action.

Respectfully Submitted,

KAREN BARNES

Of Counsel

W. Barry Montgomery, Esquire (VSB# 43042) KALBAUGH, PFUND & MESSERSMITH Counsel for Karen Barnes 901 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 200 Richmond, Virginia 23236

Tel: (804) 320-6300 Fax: (804) 320-6312

E-mail: barry.montgomery@kpmlaw.com

CaSase 1291.9400020202RLD doom.eme 2t 11-1Fil Eide0t4020213919Pagagle of 0tflagetje#D2826 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	the information contained he. This form, approved by the ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTION OF THE OCK INSTRUCTION	nerein neither replace no ne Judicial Conference of TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	or supplen	nent the filing and service ted States in September	e of pleading 1974, is requi	s or other papers red for the use of	as required by I the Clerk of Co	aw, exce ourt for th	pt as	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
Karen Barnes				City of Norfolk, Virginia						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Chesapeake, VA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Norfolk, VA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	")		Attorneys (If Known)						
W. Barry Montgomery, K Richmond, VA 23236 T		eld Park Dr., Ste. 20	00							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr		PTF 4	ant) DEF 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State					5	
				en or Subject of a	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				***************************************		here for: Nature				
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUT	ES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR: 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe: 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	69 67 71 72 74 75 79	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act County County Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Not Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copy 830 Paten New 840 Trade 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (SC 157 ETY RIGHTS rights it it - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) i. Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	480 Consum	n (31 USC) apportionr t nd Banking ree tion er Influence Organizati er Credit ne Consun on Act at TV es/Commo ge atutory Act ural Acts mental Ma n of Inform ion strative Pre iew or App Decision tionality o	g ced and ions ner dities/ tions atters nation coccdure peal of	
	moved from	Appellate Court	•	pened Anothe	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	1 -	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca			Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless di	versity)				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2.	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	V D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complair No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	_{JUDGE} Morgan			DOCKE	T NUMBER 2:	18-cv-00565	- H<	= M	
DATE 04/23/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD					1	

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP